

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

RANDY C. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

CITY OF ASBURY PARK, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Civil Action No. 22-6040 (ZNQ) (RLS)

ARB: Yes___ No X

ORDER SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

IT IS on this 28TH Day of November, 2022;

ORDERED, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, that a scheduling conference will be held before the Honorable Rukhsanah L. Singh, on January 30, 2023 at 3:30 p.m. in person at the Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse, 402 East State Street, Trenton, NJ. The parties are advised that they must comply with any and all COVID protocols and orders available for review on the Court's website, <https://www.njd.uscourts.gov>. Upon request and consent of all parties, the Court may convert the scheduling conference to take place virtually over Zoom; and it is further

ORDERED that:

(1) prior to the above conference, all counsel and individuals who are appearing on their own behalf (or "*pro se*") confer on a joint discovery plan (see L.Civ.R. 26.1(b));

(2) the Joint Discovery Plan, as well as any disputes regarding it, be submitted to the undersigned no later than two (2) weeks prior to the above conference (see L.Civ.R. 26.1(b)); the Joint Discovery Plan may be electronically filed or submitted to Chambers via e-mail at rls_orders@njd.uscourts.gov;

(3) at the above conference, all parties not appearing *pro se* shall be represented by counsel with full authority to speak for their clients in all pretrial matters (see L.Civ.R. 16.1(a));

(4) Plaintiff(s) notify any party who hereafter enters an appearance for the above conference and forward to that party a copy hereof; and

(5) the parties are to advise the Honorable Rukhsanah L. Singh immediately if this action has been settled or terminated, so that the above conference may be cancelled; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel and litigants are advised that, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, 30, and 33, early disclosure requirements and limitations on depositions and interrogatories will be enforced; therefore, counsel shall exchange the following information without formal discovery requests:

- (1) identities of individuals with knowledge of facts that support your claims on defenses;
- (2) A copy of, or description by category and location of, documents and things in the possession of counsel or the parties regarding the disputed issues;
- (3) insurance agreements in force; and
- (4) statement of the basis for any damages claimed;

and it is further

ORDERED that the meeting of parties required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) shall take place no later than three (3) weeks prior to the date of the initial conference; and it is further

ORDERED that upon the entry of appearance of any new and/or additional counsel subsequent to the date of this Order, Plaintiff's counsel shall send a copy of this Scheduling

Order to the newly appearing attorney(s), but on any third party claim, the counsel for the third party plaintiff shall send a copy of this Order to the newly entering counsel for third party defendant(s); and it is further

ORDERED that all parties shall confer and submit a Joint Discovery Plan to the Magistrate Judge not less than two (2) weeks prior to the above-stated conference date, as required by L.Civ.R. 26.1(b) of this Court. The conference date should appear on the caption of the Joint Discovery Plan, which shall include, at a minimum, the following items:

(1) a brief statement of the facts underpinning the claims or defenses in the action, as well as a brief statement of the legal issues in the case;

(2) a description of all discovery conducted by the parties to date;

(3) a description of all discovery problems encountered to date, the efforts undertaken by the parties to remedy these problems, and the parties' suggested resolution of the problems;

(4) a description of the parties' further discovery needs;

(5) the parties' estimate of the time needed to complete discovery;

(6) a statement regarding whether expert testimony will be necessary, and the parties' anticipated schedule for retention of experts and submission of their reports;

(7) a statement regarding whether there should be any limitation placed upon use of any discovery device and, if so, the reasons the limitation is sought;

(8) a description of any special discovery needs of the parties (e.g., videotape, telephone depositions, or problems with out-of-state witnesses or documents, or discovery of digital information) (see L.Civ.R. 26(d)); and

(9) a statement regarding whether the entry of a Confidentiality Order will be

requested; and it is further

ORDERED that in all patent cases, the Joint Discovery Plan shall include the topics set forth in Local Patent Rule 2.1; and it is further

ORDERED that in all patent cases where the parties cannot agree on a proposed schedule, the Court will apply the scheduling provisions set forth in the Local Patent Rules (*see generally* L.Civ.R. 9.3).

Sanctions may be imposed pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(f) if Counsel or a *pro se* litigant either fails to appear at the conference or appears unprepared. Each attendant at the conference shall be fully familiar with the file, and have full authority to bind his, her or their clients in all pretrial matters.

s/ Rukhsanah L. Singh
RUKHSANAH L. SINGH
United States Magistrate Judge

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Mediation is the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program in this Court. Mediation is governed by Local Civil Rule 301.1. The mediation program under this rule is supervised by a judicial officer (**currently United States Magistrate Judge Leda Dunn Wettre**) who is available to answer any questions about the program.

Any district judge or magistrate judge may refer a civil action to mediation. This may be done without the consent of the parties. However, the Court encourages parties to confer among themselves and consent to mediation. Moreover, you are reminded that, when counsel confer pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 26.1, one of the topics that **must** be addressed is the eligibility of a civil action for participation in ADR.

A civil action may be referred to mediation at any time. However, one of the advantages of mediation is that, if successful, it enables parties to avoid the time and expense of discovery and trial. Accordingly, the Court encourages parties to consent to mediation prior to or at the time that automatic disclosures are made pursuant to **Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**.

If parties consent to mediation, they may choose a mediator either from the list of certified mediators maintained by the Court or by the selection of a private mediator. If a civil action is referred to mediation without consent of the parties, the judicial officer responsible for supervision of the program will select the mediator.

Mediation is non-judgmental. The role of the mediator is to assist the parties in reaching a resolution of their dispute. The parties may confer with the mediator on an ex parte basis. Anything said to the mediator will be deemed to be confidential and will not be revealed to another party or to others without the party's consent. **The mediator's hourly rate is \$300.00**, which is borne equally by the parties.

If you would like further information with regard to the mediation program please review the Guidelines for Mediation, Appendix Q to the Local Civil Rules. You may also make inquiries of the judicial officer responsible for supervision of the program.

Civil actions in which there are pro se parties (incarcerated or not) are not eligible for mediation.